Oregon District Continuous Improvement Plan Template

| School Year | 2019-2020 |
|-------------|---|
| District | Central Curry School District 1, Gold Beach, Oregon SUBMITTED NOVEMBER 23, 2019 |

District Direction Section

| Vision | "A rigorous and relevant education for every student" | | | |
|---------|--|--|--|--|
| Mission | The Central Curry School District 1, in partnership with families and community, is to ensure each | | | |
| | and every student is prepared to success and contribute in a global society by engaging in a | | | |
| | challenging, personalized program of educational excellence. | | | |

Comprehensive Needs Assessment Summary

What data did our team examine? All District staff, including teachers, instructional assistants and administration, completed the Needs Assessment of the Oregon Integrated Systems Framework (ORIS). The assessment results were shared with the Board of Directors who then directed administration to analyze and prioritize activities that aligned with the Board's goals. In addition, the same group of school staff provided input to addressing the Supplemental Ten questions as the initial stages of further defining District needs.

How did the team examine the different needs of all learner groups? The staff reviewed each of the components within the five domains of ORIS. Heterogeneous groups rated each component with the rating of 0-3 for stages of implementation and made anecdotal comments of evidence.

How were inequities in student outcomes examined and brought forward in planning? The student population of the District is fairly ethnically homogeneous as well as their socio-economic status. Inequities were not determined as related to specific student outcomes. The goals established through the needs assessment process are for ALL students.

What needs did our data review elevate? The overall ratings showed District strengths in Distributive Leadership and Equity and Access. Areas for priority and action include Staff Growth, Materials and Practices to Inform Instruction, Multi-Tiered Support and Barriers to Success. The lower three areas were selected as goals with action plans.

How were stakeholders involved in the needs assessment process? As described above, all district employees with the exception of the bus drivers, were involved in the needs assessment process. Initial results were shared with the Board of Directors with the expectation of additional discussion once the CIP was further developed. The needs assessment process continues in November and December to all stakeholders including parents/guardians of current students, parents of former students, community members with no children in the school system, staff and students. Methods include surveys, evening community input sessions, personal interviews and community canvasing. A second round of assessment is schedule for January and February with more specific questions determined by the initial needs assessment.

Which needs will become priority improvement areas? Areas for priority and action include Staff Growth, Materials and Practices to Inform Instruction, Multi-Tiered Support and Barriers to Success.

| Vision | Vision "A rigorous and relevant education for every student" | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|--|--|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Long Term District Goals & Metrics | | | | | | | | |
| Student Feelised | Student Focused, aspirational, aligned with needs, written for all students | | | | | | | |
| | _ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | | | |
| - | ents will meet their annual growth | targets in matn. | | | | | | |
| Metrics are outline | ed for the year(s) to come. | | | | | | | |
| Goal 1 | All students will meet their annua | al growth goals in English/Languag | e Arts and Mathematics. | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Metrics- | By 2020 | By 2021 | By 2022 | | | | | |
| | ELA 3 – from 42% to 47%; ELA | ELA 3 – from 47% to 52%; ELA | ELA 3 – from 52% to 60%; ELA | | | | | |
| SBAC | 4 – from 43% to 48%; ELA 5 – | 4 – from 48% to 54%; ELA 5 – | 4 – from 54% to 60%; ELA 5 – | | | | | |
| | from 32% to 40%; ELA 6 – from | from 40% to 50%; ELA 6 – from | from 50% to 60%; ELA 6 – from | | | | | |
| DIBELS | 66% to 70%; ELA 7- from 77% - | 70% - 75%; ELA 7 – from 80% | 75% to 80%; ELA 7 – from 83% | | | | | |
| | 80%; ELA 8 – from 50% to 60%; | to 83%; ELA 8 – from 60% to | to 85%; ELA 8 – from 70% to | | | | | |
| | ELA 11 – from 69% to 85%. 70%; ELA 11 – from 85% to 80%; ELA 11 – from 90% | | | | | | | |
| | | 90%. | 95%. | | | | | |
| | Math 3 – from 46% to 50%; | | | | | | | |
| | Math 4 – from 33% to 40%; | Math 3 – from 50% to 60%; | Math 3 – from 60% to 70%; | | | | | |
| | Math 5 – from 27% to 40%; | Math 4 – from 40% to 50%; | Math 4 – from 50% to 60%; | | | | | |
| | Math 6 – from 61% to 65%; | Math 5 – from 40% to 50%; | Math 5 – from 50% to 60%; | | | | | |
| | Math 7 – from 57% to 65%; | Math 7 – from 57% to 65%; Math 6 – from 65% to 75%; Math 6 – | | | | | | |
| | Math 8 – from 41% to 50%; Math 7 – from 65% to 75%; Math 7 – from 75 | | | | | | | |
| | Math 11 – from 29% to 50% | Math 8 – from 50% to 60%; | Math 8 – from 60% to 70%; | | | | | |
| | | Math 11 – from 50% to 60% | Math 11 – from 60% to 70% | | | | | |
| Goal 2 | All students will attend school wi | th at least a 90% rate and have acc | cess to support systems including | | | | | |
| | emotional health needs. | | | | | | | |
| Metrics- | By 2020 | By 2021 | By 2022 | | | | | |
| | Riley Creek (K-8) – 87% | Riley Creek (K-8) – 90% | Riley Creek (K-8) – 92% | | | | | |
| School | | | | | | | | |
| attendance data | GBHS (9-12) – 80% GBHS (9-12) – 85% GBHS (9-12) – 90% | | | | | | | |
| Goal 3 | All students will have access to a highly qualified teaching staff which is recruited and retained for | | | | | | | |
| | consistency with instruction and program development. | | | | | | | |
| Metrics – Annual | By 2020 By 2021 By 2022 | | | | | | | |
| l | | | | | | | | |

Initiative Alignment to Support District Goals

Retain 90% of Staff

staffing surveys

Examples: High School Success, Chronic Absenteeism, 21st Century Grant, EL Success Program, Improvement Partnership

Retain 95% of Staff

| Initiative/Program | How this initiative/program supports the district to meet goals |
|------------------------|---|
| Chronic Absenteeism | Monitoring, Targeted Support and Family Advocacy |
| High School Success | 9 th Grade on Track, Targeted Support with Student Success Teams and additional emotional/mental health support services |
| ESSA Improvement Grant | Professional development activities; mentor teacher/coaching program; intervention materials. |
| | |

Retain 100% of Staff

Annual Evidence Based Strategies, Measures and Actions

| District Goal this strategy supports | Goal 1: All students will meet their annual growth goals in English/Language Arts and Mathematics. | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| What are we going to do? | Strategy # 1.1 Written as a Theory of Action and reflects evidence-based practices | If we provide students with updated and adopted core and intervention curriculum and provide staff with instructional coaching specific to English/Language Arts and Mathematics, Then staff will improve their instructional skills and be monitored to teach core and intervention curriculum materials with fidelity, And students will achieve at accelerated and higher achievement levels. | | | | |
| How we will know the plan is working | Measures of Evidence for Adult Actions ("then" statements") Measures of Evidence for Students ("and" statement) | Fall Composite walk-through data shows 70% of staff has implemented at least three new learning strategies Fall Math/ELA – At least two formative assessments completed. ELA – Follow recommended schedule for benchmark and progress monitoring of all skills as measured with DIBELS. | Winter Composite walk-through data shows 80% of staff has implemented at least four new learning strategies Winter Math/ELA – At least two formative assessments completed. ELA – Follow recommended schedule for benchmark and progress monitoring of all skills as measured with DIBELS. | Spring Composite walk-through data shows 90% of staff has implemented at least five new learning strategies Spring Math/ELA – At least one formative assessment completed in preparation for SBAC ELA - Follow recommended schedule for benchmark and progress monitoring of all skills as measured with DIBELS. | | |
| How we will get the work done | Person or Team Responsible RC Staff Administration Admin/Teachers Administration | Action Steps To be completed this year 1.Implement new K-2 ELA core curriculum. 2.Hire mentor teacher/coaches 3.Establish formative assessment schedule for math and ELA. 4. Provide individualized professional development to | | Due Date September 3, 2019 October 1, 2019 September 13, 2019 October 1, 2019 and | | |
| | | re-enforce best practices ex | ongoing | | | |

| District Goal this strategy supports | Goal 1: All students will meet their annual growth goals in English/Language Arts and Mathematics. | | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| | MS/HS Staff 5.Review ELA curriculum adoption materials and make recommendation to Board of Directors for 2020-2021. March 20, 2019 | | | | | |
| ORIS Domain Alignment | ORIS Domain(s) this strategy supports | Leadership Talent Development Stakeholder Engagement and Partnership XXXXX_Well-Rounded, Coordinated Learning Inclusive Policy and Practice | | | | |

| District Goal this strategy supports | Goal 2: All students will attend school with at least a 90% rate and have access to support systems including emotional health needs. | | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| What are we going to do? | Strategy # 2.1 Written as a Theory of Action and reflects evidence-based practices | If we implement improved attendance monitoring and student support systems, Then staff can assist students and families to establish healthy relationships with school, And students will attend school at a higher rate and take advantage of support services. | | | | |
| How we will know the plan is working | Measures of Evidence for Adult Actions Calendar Measures of Evidence for Students Attendance Reports | Fall Bi-weekly attendance reports and home communication; Identify priority students for support. Fall Riley Creek – 88% rate GBHS – 75% rate | Winter Bi-weekly attendance reports and home communication; Student Success Team meetings at least twice a month. Winter Riley Creek – 90% rate GBHS – 80% rate | Spring Bi-weekly attendance reports and home communication; Student Success Team meetings at least once a week. Spring Riley Creek – 92% rate GBHS – 85% rate | | |
| How we will get the work done | Person or Team Responsible Administration Principals Counselors Support Teams Support Teams Teams | Action Steps To be completed this year 1. Hire a part-time attendance advocate for home interventions with students and families 2. Establish attendance monitoring calendar 3. Establish Student Success Team calendar 4. Involve community businesses and service clubs to support attendance and mental health initiatives 5. Participate in regional (ESD) meetings and trainings for attendance and trauma informed practices | | October 1, 2019 August 29, 2019 September 6, 2019 November 1, 2019 Quarterly | | |

| District Goal this strategy supports | Goal 2: All students will attend school with at least a 90% rate and have access to support systems including emotional health needs. | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|
| ORIS Domain Alignment | ORIS Domain(s) this strategy supports | Leadership Talent Development Stakeholder Engagement and Partnership Well-Rounded, Coordinated Learning XXXXXX_Inclusive Policy and Practice | | |

| District Goal this strategy supports | Goal 3: All students will have access to a highly qualified teaching staff which is recruited and retained for consistency with instruction and program development. | | | | | |
|---|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| What are we going to do? | Strategy # 3.1 Written as a Theory of Action and reflects evidence-based practices | If we hire qualified staff and provide opportunities for mentoring and coaching Then new staff (as well as current staff) will take advantage of coaching from qualified mentors with ongoing support And with a stable teaching staff, students will benefit academically and socially. | | | | |
| How we will know the plan is working | Measures of Evidence for Adult Actions Calendar and Logs Measures of Evidence for Students | Fall Monthly trainings specific to needs of new staff. At least bi-weekly mentor/mentee coaching and collaboration sessions 2020 Will be measured over three years | to needs of new staff. At least bi-weekly mentor/mentee coaching and collaboration sessions to needs of new staff. At least bi-weekly mentor/mentee and collaboration sessions 2021 2022 | | | |
| How we will get the work done | Person or Team Responsible Administration Mentor Team Administration Participants ORIS | Action To be comple 1.Hire mentor teachers/coa 2.Establish meeting schedul 3.Evaluate staff and program 4.Evaluate program effectiv Leadership | October 1, 2019 October 15, 2019 February 1, 2020 May 15, 2020 | | | |
| ORIS Domain Alignment | Domain(s) this strategy supports | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | |

District Plan Self-Monitoring Routines

| | | Update Date | Strategy | What does your evidence show? | What is working? What is not? | What will you do? What adjustments are | What supports are being provided? Are they helpful? |
|---|---------------------|----------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|---|
| | | | | | | needed? | What more is needed? |
| - | Updates | 11/1/19 | Activities of Goals 1, 2 and 3 | | | | |
| | Performance Updates | 1/24/20 | Activities of Goals 1, 2 and 3 | | | | |
| C | Per | 4/3/20 | Activities of Goals 1, 2 and 3 | | | | |
| | | 6/10/20 | Activities of Goals 1, 2 and 3 | | | | |